

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,145	10/06/2003	Walter C. Babcock	PC26122A	1094
²⁸⁵²³ PFIZER INC.	7590 07/05/2007 ER INC		EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT, MS8260-1611			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
EASTERN PO GROTON, CT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GROTON, CT		•	1616	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/678,145	BABCOCK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Edward J. Webman	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. hely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2007. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 is/are withdrawn fr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsparson's Patent Drawing Poving (PTO 948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/4/04</u>, <u>4/15/04</u>. 	5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/678,145

Art Unit: 1616

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/38722 (WO '722) in view of Curatolo et al (US 6,548,555).

WO '722 teaches combinations of an HMG Co A reductase inhibitor and CETP inhibitor (abstract). The elected CETP inhibitor is specified ("C-12" on page 18). The elected torvastatin is disclosed (Table 2 page 21). Tablets are specified (page 26 line 30).

Curatolo et al teach enhancing the bioavailability of basic drugs by admixing the drug with polymers such as cellulose acetate trimellitate (abstract), cited as a claimed acidic polymer (see specification, page 130 line 31). Amorphous drugs are specified (column 4 lines 51-52). Adsorption of a surfactant such as Tween onto the surface of such a drug to increase the rate of dissolution is disclosed (column 10 lines 34-56).

It would have been obvious to admix the CETP inhibitor of WO '22 with a polymer such as cellulose acetate trimellitate for the beneficial effect of enhancing the bioavailability of the active in view of Curatolo et al. It would have been further obvious to absorb a surfactant onto the surface of an amorphous form of the inhibitor to achieve the beneficial effect of increased dissolution. As to the claimed properties, they must be possessed by the obvious composition because it is the same as that claimed. As to the claimed substrate surface area, it is argued that an adsorbed surfactant constitutes

Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

such a substrate. Further, it is argued that Tween, a liquid surfactant, will possess a surface area in excess of that claimed.

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan, can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDMARD J. WEBHAN PANNAN ÉXAMBRER GROUPTEDS